\*

. (30)

(1135) (40)

· ( ):

\_\_\_\_

\*

.2013/2/11 : .2012/5/16 : .2013

323

## The Effect of the Social Network Sites on the Attitudes of University Students in Jordan

## Mohammad Saleem Alzboon Deif Allah Odeh Abu Seileek

## **Abstract**

This study aimed at investigating the effect of social network sites on the attitudes of university students the study Jordan.

To achieve study the aims the descriptive methodology war used. and a questionnaire was used to collect relevant data. The researchers used questionnaire and personal interviews, a questionnaire consisting of (30) paragraphs and personal interviews consists tow question.

The sample of the questionnaire consists of (1135) from males and females. They were chosen with the stratified random way, the sample of interviews consists of (40) students from the active users of social networks, they were chosen with the purposeful way.

The results showed that the effects of social network sites on the students attitudes were in the Mid-level.

The results showed that the most positive effects of the social networks were maintaining and reinforcing the social relations with friends and correspondence and removing the psychological and social borders between males and females. The most negative effects were the addiction to social networks, academic fall down and asserting the tribal, the regional and the ethnic intolerance.

The study recommended utilizing the advantages of social networks in developing the balanced character of university students.

**Keywords**: attitudes, social network sites.

:

.(Boyd, 2007)

.(Grabner, 2010)

.(2011 )

.(Valenzuela, Park & Kee, 2008)

.(Klopfer, Osterweil, Groff, and Haas, 2009)

.(Boyd, 2007)

.(Mazman & Usluel, 2009)

(Face book)

24-18

(1.923.740) (804.400)

(%41.8) (/http://www.checkfacebook.com) 2011/9/20

.

(2008)

•

:

.(2004 )

.

.

.

-.

· · · · -

328

:
-1
-2
-3
-4
:
2012/2011

.

(40)

.

" (Boyd, 2007,62)

(Boya, 2007,02)

." :

п .

(224 2004)

· :

:

(Ellison ,et al., 2007)
(Facebook)

(286)

(Facebook)

(Facebook)

(Boyd, 2008)

(24-14) (94)

(Facebook & My space)

(Valenzuela, Park & Kee, 2009)

(2603)

Orr, Sisic, Simmering, )

(Arseneault, & Robert, 2009

(Facebook)

(103) .(Facebook)

(Facebook)

(Facebook)

(Shen & Khalifa,2010)

(Facebook)

(128) (35) (35) (70)

```
(Facebook)
                              (Facebook)
(Pollet, Roberts, & Dunbar, 2011)
                   )
                    (63 – 18)
                                                   (117)
                (Kalpidou, et al., 2011)
                              (Facebook)
                          (70)
  (Facebook)
```

(Kujath, 2011)
(183) .
(98) (85)
(MySpace & Facebook)

(Young, 2011) (Facebook)

.(Facebook) (18)
(Facebook)

:

-·

·

· . \_

· -

·

·

:

( ) (75923) (2012 –2011)

•

(%1.5) (1135) (680) (455)

(40)

(500)

.(1)

			(1)
16	11	5	
15	9	6	
9	5	4	
40	25	15	

·

```
(Ulusu, 2010) (Ellison ,et al., 2007)
(Kujath, 2011)

: (30)

: ((880))
: (30)

: (40)
(Cronbach Alpha)
. 0.87
```

337

(10)

· -

(1) (5) (4)

: 1.33 = ( ) 3÷4

3.66 2.33 2.33 1

. 5 - 3.66=

:

: " :

n .

.(2)

(2)

1	0.95	3.93		1
2	0.95	3.84		4
2	0.92	3.84	·	5
2	0.92	3.04		3
4	0.97	3.81		2
5	0.91	3.76		8
6	1.03	3.66		9
7	1.07	3.56		6
/	1.07	3.30		0
			·	
8	1.00	3.55		10
9	1.00	3.36		7
10	1.08	2.98		3
	0.66	2 (2	·	
	0.66	3.63		

(2) (0.66)(3.63) (5) " (1) (5) " (4) (0.95)(3.93) " (5) (0.95) (3.84) .(0.92) " (3) (2.98) (1.08) " (7) .(1.00) (3.36)

340

.(3)

(3)

1	1.24	3.56	·	14
2	1.10	3.51		11
3	1.10	3.49	(	17
4	1.16	3.45		16
5	1.33	3.41	( )	19
6	1.32	3.39	( )	15
7	1.19	3.31		13
8	1.20	3.27		21

ı	ī		T.	
9	1.33	3.19	·	20
10	1.14	3.18		18
11	1.17	2.84		12
	0.75	3.33		

(3)

.(4)

(4)

		i .		
1	1.05	3.75		22
2	1.12	3.68		23
3	1.07	3.64	·	28
4	1.14	3.50		25
5	1.10	3.48		30
6	1.18	3.45		29
7	1.14	3.30	) (	24
8	1.09	3.29		27
9	1.25	3.03		26
	0.78	3.46		

(4) (0.78)(3.46) " (22) (7) " (23) (1.05) (3.75) .(1.12) (3.68) " (26) (1.25) (3.03) " (27) .(1.09) (3.29) ":

344

.(6) (5)

(5)

	_	•	
%			
75	1	30	
70	2	28	
65	3	26	
65	3	26	
52.5	5	21	
47.5	6	19	
45	7	18	
45	7	18	
42.5	9	17	
42.5	9	17	
40	11	16	
40	11	16	
40	11	16	
37.5	14	15	
37.5	14	15	
		•	1

32.5	16	13	·
32.5	16	13	·
32.5	16	13	
30	19	12	
30	19	12	·
27.5	21	11	
25	22	10	
20	23	8	
15	24	6	·
10	25	4	
10	25	4	

(5)

(30)

ıı ıı

" (28)

. (17)

.(4) .(25) .(4) (25) " .(6) (24) " .(6)

(6)

(19)

(19)

.(15)

(20) " (3)

(4) (18) "
.(9) (16)

: " :

." (2)

.(3.63)

(2.98) (3.93)

348

" (1) (3.93)

.

" (4) . (3.84)

" (3)

. (2.98)

.
" :

(3)

.(3.33) (3.63) .(3.46)

-

" (14)
(11) (3.56)

" (17) (3.51)

" ( ..... )

. (3.49)

" (18)
. (3.18)

(Young, 2011)
(Kujath, 2011) (Facebook)

(Shen & Khalifa, 2010)
(Facebook)

" (12) (2.84)

· (4)

.(3.46)

" (22)

. (3.75)

(3.68) " (23) (Kujath, 2011)

. (27)

(3.03)

(6.5)

.(30) (Young, 2011)

(Facebook) (Ellison ,et al., 2007)

(28)

.

. (17)

(Facebook) (Shen & Khalifa, 2010)

.

(4) (25)

п

.(4) (25)

·

(6) " .(24)

.(19)

.

.

(15)

•

.(14) (7)

· :

:

-1

-2

-3

-4

-5

•

627 : (2011)

.36-32

: . (2004)

: 1 ( )

(2008)

. :

ناصر، إبراهيم (2004)، التنشئة الاجتماعية، (ط1)، دار عمار: عمان.

Boyd, Danah (2007), Why Youth (Heart) Social Network Sites: The Role of Networked Publics in Teenage Social Life. In: Buckingham, David (ED), MacArthur Foundation Series on Digital Learning – Youth, Identity, and Digital.(pp119-142), Cambridge: MIT Press. Media.

Boyd, Danah (2008), Taken Out of Context: American Teen Sociality in Networked Publics, Unpublished Doctoral Dissertation, University of California, Berkeley.

Ellison, N., Steinfield, C. and Lampe, C. (2007), The Benefits of Facebook "Friends:" Social Capital and College Students' Use of Online Social Network Sites, Journal of Computer-Mediated Communication, 12,1143–1168.

- Grabner, Sonja (2010), Web 2.0 Social Networks: The Role of Trust, Journal of Business Ethics, 90, 505-525.
- Kalpidou, M., Costin, D. and Morris, J. Morris (2011), The relationship between Facebook and the well-being of undergraduate college students, Cyberpsychology, Behavior, and Social Networking, 14 (4), 183-189.
- Klopfer, E., Osterweil, S., Groff, J and Haas, J (2009), Using the technology of today in the classroom today, Cambridge: Massachusetts Institute of Technology.
- Kujath, C. (2011), Facebook and MySpace: Complement or Substitute for Face-to-Face Interaction?, Cyberpsychology, Behavior, and Social Networking, 14(1-2), 75-78.
- Mazman, Sacide and Usluel, Yasemin (2009), The Usage of Social Networks in Educational, World Academy of Science, Engineering and Technology, 49, 404-408.
- Orr, E., Sisic, M., Ross, C., Simmering, M., Arseneault, J., and Robert Orr, R. (2009), The Influence of Shyness on the Use of Facebook in an Undergraduate Sample, Cyberpsychology and Behavior, 12(3), 337-340.
- Pollet, T., Roberts, S., and Dunbar, R. (2011), Use of Social Network Sites and Instant Messaging Does Not Lead to Increased Offline Social Network Size, or to Emotionally Closer Relationships with Offline Network Members, Cyber psychology and Behavior, and Social Networks, 14(4), 253-258.
- Shen, Kathy and Khalifa, Mohamed (2010), Facebook Usage Among Arabic College Students, International Journal of e-Business Management, 4(1), 53-65.
- Ulusu, Yesmin.(2010), Determinant factors of time spent on Facebook: Brand community engagement and usage types, Journal of Yasar University, 18 (5), (2949-2957).
- Valenzuela, S., Park, N., and Kee. K. (2008), Lessons from Facebook: The Effect of Social Network Sites on College Students' Social Capital, The 9th International Symposium on Online Journalism, Austin, Texas, 4-5 April.

- Valenzuela, S., Park, N., and Kee. K. (2009), Is There Social Capital in a Social Network Site?: Facebook Use and College Students' Life Satisfaction, Trust, and Participation, Journal of Computer-Mediated Communication, 14,875–901.
- Young, Kirsty (2011), Social Ties, Social Networks and the Facebook Experience, International Journal of Emerging Technologies and Society, 9 (1),20 34.

http://www.checkfacebook.com) available in 20/9/2011